

A 13-fold improved value for the electron mass

F. Köhler^{1,2,3}, S. Sturm², A. Wagner², G. Werth⁴, W. Quint^{1,3}, and K. Blaum²

¹*GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, D-64291, Darmstadt*

²*Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, D-69117, Heidelberg*

³*Ruprecht-Karls-Universität, D-69117, Heidelberg*

⁴*Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, D-55122, Mainz*

Presenting Author: florian.koehler.email@googlemail.com

The mass of the electron is a key parameter of the Standard Model of physics. Its value is fundamental for the structure of matter on atomic and molecular scales; a high-precision value is relevant for the most stringent tests of quantum electrodynamics [1,2,3].

Here we use an indirect method similar to [4,5] to determine the atomic mass of the electron by measuring the spin-precession frequency of an electron bound to a carbon nucleus in a 3.7 T magnetic field. The single hydrogen-like carbon ion has been trapped for several months in a Penning trap apparatus. While probing the spin-precession frequency, the magnetic field has been measured simultaneously with a novel phase-sensitive detection technique, PnA (Pulse and Amplify) [6], working at ultra-low temperatures. The spin-state is determined by applying the continuous Stern-Gerlach effect.

This approach requires the theoretical knowledge of the bound electron g -factor, which has been calculated to a precision better than 10^{-11} . Combining this state-of-the-art QED calculation and our measurement of the ratio of the electron spin-precession frequency to the ion cyclotron frequency, we can derive the electron mass [7]. With a relative uncertainty of $3 \cdot 10^{-11}$ we have improved the electron mass by a factor of 13 with respect to the present CODATA value [8].

In this talk the measurement of the electron mass will be presented and the current status of our BS-QED tests will be summarized.

References

- [1] R. Bouchendira *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 080801 (2011)
- [2] D. Hanneke *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 120801 (2008)
- [3] S. Sturm *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 023002 (2011)
- [4] H. Häffner *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5308 (2000)
- [5] J. Verdú *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 093002 (2004)
- [6] S. Sturm *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 143003 (2011)
- [7] S. Sturm *et al.* Nature **506**, 13026 (2014)
- [8] P.J. Mohr *et al.* Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 1527 (2012)